The 0-bonded Monometallic and *0-* **and C-bonded Dimetallic Form of N,N-Diphenylacetamide Enolate with Transition Metals: X-Ray Structure of** $[Ph_2N-C(CH_2)OZr(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ ₂(Cl)] and $[Ph_2N-C\{CH_2Cr(CO)_5\}OZr(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ ₂(Cl)]

Patrick Veya,^a Carlo Floriani,*^a Angiola Chiesi-Villa^b and Carlo Guastini^b

a Section de Chimie, Universite de Lausanne, Place du Chdteau 3, CH-1005 Lausanne, Switzerland b Istituto di Strutturistica Chimica, Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del CNR, *Universita di Parma, 1-43100 Parma, Italy*

The N,N-diphenylacetamide enolato anion oxygen bonded to zirconium in $[Ph_2N-C(CH_2)OZr-(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2(CI)]$ has been synthesised, which, when reacted with $[Cr(CO)_5(thf)]$, led to the dimetallic form of the amide enolato O-bonded to zirconium and C-bonded to chromium.

The amide enolates have a relevant role in synthetic chemistry.' Although it is well known that the metallated form is responsible for the stereochemical control in their reactions,2 we did not find any indication of isolation and structural characterisation of transition metal amide enolates. In this context we report here the derivatisation of a very simple amide enolate in a mono- **(A)** and di-metallic **(B)** form: in the latter form both nucleophilic sites, C and 0, are engaged in binding two different M and M' transition metals.

Deprotonation of **1** was carried out in thf by the use of $LiNPrⁱ2$ followed by reaction with zirconocene dichloride, see eqn. (1).

Complex $3 \dagger$ [±] was isolated in high yield (80%) as a yellow crystalline solid. The lithium form of the amide enolate, $2, \dagger \ddagger$ was obtained as a white crystalline solid. We do not know its structure, a single example being reported for a lithium amide

t Satisfactory analytical and spectroscopic data have been obtained.

enolate.3 The structure of **3,s** shown in Fig. 1 with some selected structural parameters, will be discussed jointly with that of **4.**

The soft nucleophilicity of the methylene carbon in the enolate **3** was engaged in binding a low-valent transition metal.

Compound **4** was obtained by adding a tetrahydrofuran (thf) solution of $[Cr(CO)₅(thf)]$ to a diethyl ether solution of 3, see eqn. (2). The oily compound formed was crystallised from diethyl ether to give $4\ddagger\ddagger$ as a crystalline solid. This reaction, which was not observed with the analogous zirconium $keto$ -enolates,⁴ emphasizes the good nucleophilicity of the

§ $\frac{C}{2}$ *Crystal data* for 3: C₂₄H₂₂ClNOZr, $M = 467.1$, triclinic, space group $\overline{P1}$, \overline{a} = 9.531(1), \overline{b} = 9.945(1), \overline{c} = 12.248(1) \overline{A} , α = 104.97(1), β = 109.96(1), $\gamma = 76.01(1)$ °, $U = 1039.0(2)$ Å³, $Z = 2$, $D_c = 1.493$ g cm⁻³. Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å), μ (Mo-K α) = 6.63 cm⁻¹, crystal dimensions $0.30 \times 0.48 \times 0.55$ mm. The structure was solved using SHELX-86 and anisotropically refined. All the hydrogen atoms were located in a difference map and introduced as fixed contributors in the final state of refinement. During the refinement all the Cp and Ph rings were constrained to be regular pentagons (C–C, 1.42 Å) and hexagons (C-C, 1.395 Å) respectively. For 3188 unique observed structure amplitudes $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ collected at room temperature on a Philips PW 1100 diffractometer in the range $6 < 20 < 50^{\circ}$, the *R* value is 0.041 (unit weights).

Crystal data for **4**: $C_{29}H_{22}CICrNO₆Zr$, $M = 659.2$, monoclinic, space group *Cc, a* = $9.870(\overline{1})$, *b* = $23.237(2)$, *c* = $13.338(1)$ Å, β = $105.94(1)^\circ$, $U = 2941.4(5)$ \AA^3 , $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.489$ g cm⁻³, Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$), $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 8.40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, crystal dimensions $0.20 \times 0.25 \times 0.35$ mm. The structure was solved and refined as above applying the same constraints. For 1618 unique observed structure amplitudes $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ collected at room temperature on a Nonius CAD4 diffractometer in the range $6 < 20 < 52^{\circ}$, the *R* value is 0.027 (unit weights). All calculations were carried out using SHELX-76. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

[‡] *NMR data*: complex 2: ¹H NMR (δ, C₆D₆): 1.40 (m, 8H, thf), 3.42 $(s, 1H, CH₂), 3.63$ (m, 9H, thf + CH₂), 6.85 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.1–7.3 (m, 8H, Ph). Complex 3: ¹H NMR (δ, CD₂Cl₂): 3.64 (s, 2H, CH₂), 6.22 (s, 10H, cp), 7.0–7.4 (m, 10H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (δ, CD₂Cl₂): 78.1 (s, CH₂), Complex 4: ¹H NMR (δ , CD₂Cl₂): 1.72 (q, 2H, CH₂-Cr), 6.28 (s, 5H, cp), 6.31 (s, 5H, cp), 7.3–7.5 (m, 10H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (δ , C₆D₆): 13.8 **(s,** CH2-Cr), 115.4 (t, cp), 130 (Ph), 191.3 **(s,** N-C-0), 219.8 (s, Cr-CO), 225.2 (s, Cr-CO). IR v/cm^{-1} (nujol), Cr(CO)₅: 2051w, 1938s, 1916s, 1840s. 115.1 **(s, cp)**, 123.5–129.7 **(5s, Ph)**, 147.1 **(s, Ph)**, 163.9 **(s, C–O)**.

Fig. 1 An ORTEP view of complex 3. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Zr-cp(1) 2.206(5), Zr-cp(2) 2.215(5), Zr-Cl(1), 2.461(1), Zr-C(28) 1.323(7); cp(1)-Zr-cp(2) 128.9(2), O(1)-Zr-Cl(1) 98.3(1), $Zr-O(1)-C(27)$ 147.3(3). O(1) 1.976(3), 0(1)-C(27) 1.337(5), N(l)-C(27) 1.414(6), C(27)-

methylene carbon in such an amide enolate. In addition, it represents a quite unique use of an enolate as a nucleophile towards organometallic substrates. The $Cr(CO)_{5}$ fragment introduces significant changes in the amide enolate skeleton. The bonding scheme proposed for the dimetallic form derives from the structural data summarised in the caption of Fig. 2, showing the structure of **48** *(vide infra).* The torsional angles Cl(1)- $\bar{Z}r \cdot \cdot \cdot C(27) - C(28)$ [18.4(4), 3 and 23.2(3)°, 4] and Cl(1)-Zr \cdots C(27)-N(1) [176.7(3), **3** and 178.4(4)°, **4**] show in both complexes the CH2 nucleophile being *cis* and N(1) *trans* oriented referring to the C1 ligand at zirconium.
The dihedral angles of the $C(11) \cdots C(16)$ and $C(21) \cdots$

 $C(26)$ phenyl planes with respect to the enolato $O(1)$, $N(1)$, $C(27)$, $C(28)$ plane are very close in both complexes: 112.5(1) and $109.5(2)^{\circ}$ for complex 3, 109.8(1) and $102.0(1)^{\circ}$ for complex 4 respectively. The binding of $Cr(CO)_5$ by 3 induced a significant rotation around the Zr-0 bond, as exemplified in comparing the following torsional angles: $Cl(1)-Zr-O(1)$ -

Fig. 2 An ORTEP view of complex 4. Bond distances (\AA) and angles $(°)$: Zr-cp(1) 2.206(5), Zr-cp(2) 2.206(7), Zr-Cl(1) 2.415(2), Zr-O(1) 1.388(7), Cr-C(28) 2.329(5), Cr-C(29) 1.894(6), Cr-C(30) 1.895(8), $Cr-C(31)$ 1.896(8), $Cr-C(32)$ 1.908(9), $Cr-C(33)$ 1.815(6); cp(1)- Zr cp(2) 130.1(3), O(1)-Zr-Cl(1) 97.3(1), Zr-O(1)-C(27) 141.2(3), Cr-C(28)-C(27) 107.7(3). 2.045(3), $O(1)-C(27)$ 1.311(5), N(1)-C(27) 1.360(6), C(27)-C(28)

C(27) [95.9(5), 3; $76.1(5)^\circ$, 4], Zr-O(1)-C(27)-C(28) [-87.4(7), **3;** -61.0(7)", 41; Zr-O(l)-C(27)-C(21) [90.6(6), **3;** 119.2(5)°, 4]. The attack of the methylene carbon on $Cr(CO)_{5}$ led to the formation of an alkylcarbonylmetallate.⁵ The C-end of the enolate binds Cr at the normal distance for a Cr-C *o* bond [2.329(5) A] in a metallate derivative.6 The CO *trans* to it takes care of the major amount of the charge transferred by the enolate to the metal, the Cr-C(33) and C(33)-O(33) distances being significantly shorter and longer respectively than those for the other four Cr-C-0 groups (see caption of Fig. 2). Lengthening of the C(27)–C(28) and $Zr-O(1)$ bonds, shortening of the $\tilde{C}(27)-O(1)$ and $N(1)-C(27)$ bonds were observed moving from **3** to 4, as we expect every time the methylene carbon is engaged into a nucleophilic attack on some electrophile. In addition $N(1)$ has a significant degree of pyramidality in 3, being $0.169(4)$ Å out of the plane $C(11)$, C(21), C(27), while N(1) is almost coplanar in 4 [0.019(4) \AA]. According to these facts a simple picture for charge separation is shown in the limiting structures **C** and **D** of **4.**

We thank the Fonds National Suisse de la Recherche Scientifique (Grant No. 20-28470-90) and Ciba-Geigy SA (Basel, Switzerland) for financial support.

Received, 7th May 1991; Corn. 11021411

References

- 1 D. A. Oare, M. A. Anderson, M. A. Sanner and C. H. Heathcock, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1990, *55,* 132 and references cited therein; D. **A.** Evans, T. C. Britton, J. A. Ellman and R. L. Dorow, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 1990, 112, 4011 and references cited therein.
- 2 A. Abdel-Magid, L. N. Pridgen, D. **S.** Eggleston and I. Lantos, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1986,108,4595; D. **A.** Evans, M. D. Ennis and T. Le, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1984, 106, 1154; V. Stefanovski, Tz. Gospodova and L. Viteva, *Tetrahedron,* 1986, **42,** 5355; D. A. Evans and L. R. McGee, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1981, 103, 2876; *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1980, 21, 3975; T. Katsuki and **M.** Yamaguchi, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1985, 26, 5807; L. M. Fuentes, I. Shinkai and T. N. Saizmann, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1986, 108,4675.
- 3 W. Bauer, T. Laube and D. Seebach, *Chem. Ber.,* 1985,118,764.
- 4 P. Veya and C. Floriani, manuscript in preparation.
- 5 J. E. Ellis and G. P. Hagen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1977, 16, 1357.
- 6 **S.** W. Kirtley, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry,* eds. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, 1982, vol. 3, ch. 26.1, p. 930.